

**APPRAISER LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION BOARD
HEBER M. WELLS BUILDING
ROOM 2B
August 4, 2009
1:00 p.m.
TELEPHONIC MEETING**

MINUTES

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT

Thad Levar, Acting Division Director
Dee Johnson, Enforcement Director
Mark Fagergren, Education/Licensing Director
Jennie Jonsson, Hearing Officer
Renda Christensen, Board Secretary

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT

Ronald Smith, Chair
Craig Morley, Vice Chair
Paul Throndsen, Board Member

The August 4, 2009 meeting of the Appraiser Licensing and Certification Board began at 1:00 p.m. with Chair Smith conducting.

OPEN TO PUBLIC

Discussion of the public comments received by the Appraiser Board and the Division on R162-150.

Acting Director Levar announced publicly today there will be a new Division of Real Estate Director who will be starting August 25, 2009. Her name is Deanna Sabey, a local attorney who has practiced in the mortgage area for the last several years.

Acting Director Levar reported there were three public comments received on this rule.

The first public comment was from David Feldman who suggests reducing the required 15-hour USPAP course for reviewers to the 7-hour course.

The second public comment received was from J. Allan Payne who works with Payne Appraisal who recommends on the sections that require the USPAP course to change the word "received" to "take and pass." The second suggestion was not to back off on the 15-hour USPAP course.

The third public comment received was from Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Preston Gates Ellis, LLP, a law firm in Washington, DC. The law firm represents a number of appraisal management companies, none of whom were identified. Their comments are summarized in four suggestions:

1. Delay the implementation of these rules to at least July 2010.

2. Not to require the AMC to disclose to the appraiser what the AMC charges the clients.
3. To remove the requirement that the appraiser must include the AMC compensation in the Appraisal Report.
4. To add the word “reasonable” in the two locations that talked about the appraiser’s professional judgment. This is in relation to the subject geographical location and time frame.

Acting Director Levar said there are four options the Board can take:

1. To do nothing, in which case the rule would not become effective.
2. Make the rule effective with no changes, in which case it can become effective on Friday morning.
3. To delay the effectiveness and submit an amended filing which would open up a new public comment period.
4. Make the existing filing effective, and then file a new filing if you want to make any changes.

After discussion, the Board has made a motion to accept the rule as proposed. They will consider changes in the future meetings. The motion passed unanimously.

A motion to adjourn was made and passed at 1:20 p.m.